Received 14 December 2005

Accepted 16 December 2005

Online 23 December 2005

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Zhi-Wei Xu, Yun-Long Fu* and Jia-Lin Ren

School of Chemistry and Material Science, Shanxi Normal University, Linfen,Shanxi, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: yunlongfu@dns.sxtu.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 273 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.033 wR factor = 0.085 Data-to-parameter ratio = 11.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Piperazinium(2+) hexaaquairon(II) bis(sulfate)

In the crystal structure of the title compound, $(C_4H_{12}N_2)$ -[Fe(H₂O)₆](SO₄)₂, hydrogen bonds link the piperazinium cation, the hexaaquairon(II) cation and the sulfate anions into a three-dimensional network. The [Fe(H₂O)₆]²⁺ and piperazinium cations each lie on a centre of symmetry.

Comment

This report describes a study of the reactions of iron(II) sulfate and piperazine under solvothermal conditions. We have previously described a 4,4-bipyridine salt of hexa-aquairon(II) sulfate, accompanied by two uncoordinated water molecules (Fu *et al.*, 2005). A similar reaction with N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) as solvent and piperazine as diamine yielded the title compound, (I) (Fig. 1), although DMF was not incorporated into the crystal structure. The compound can be regarded as a double salt of piperazinium sulfate and hexaaquairon(II) sulfate.



The $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ and $C_4H_{12}N_2^{2+}$ cations and the sulfate anions interact through hydrogen bonds (Table 2), forming a three-dimensional network. The organic cation and the Fe atom each lie on an inversion centre. There are only four examples in the literature of a hexaaquametal sulfate salt having an organic piperazine cation. The structures of piperazinium hexaaquacobalt(II) bis(sulfate) (Pan *et al.*, 2003) and piperazinium hexaaquanickel(II) bis(sulfate) (Meng *et al.*, 2004) are similar to that of the title compound, but hemi(piperazinium) hexaaquaaluminium(III) bis(sulfate) tetrahydrate (Bataille, 2003) and piperazinium hexaaquazinc(II) bis(sulfate) (Rekik *et al.*, 2005) have additional hydrogen-bond interactions with uncoordinated water molecules.

Experimental

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Iron(II) sulfate heptahydrate (0.278 g, 1 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (7 ml) with constant stirring. A drop of concentrated sulfuric

metal-organic papers



Figure 1

A plot of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (a) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (b) -x, -y + 1, -z + 2.]

acid (0.06 ml, 1 mmol) was added, followed by piperazine (0.086 g, 1 mmol). The final mixture was placed in a 15 ml Teflon-lined Parr bomb, which was heated at 383 K for 2 d. The bomb was cooled and opened to reveal pale-green block-shaped crystals in about 40% yield with respect to Fe.

Crystal data

$(C_4H_{12}N_2)[Fe(H_2O)_6](SO_4)_2$	$D_x = 1.809 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 444.22$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Cell parameters from 25
a = 7.8345 (6) Å	reflections
b = 9.3814 (8) Å	$\theta = 2.8-27.0^{\circ}$
c = 11.0965 (9) Å	$\mu = 1.25 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 91.2840 \ (10)^{\circ}$	T = 273 (2) K
$V = 815.37 (11) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, pale green
Z = 2	$0.18 \times 0.15 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
	17(2:1 1 (0)

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.806, T_{\max} = 0.844$ 4636 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$
$wR(F^2) = 0.085$
S = 1.10
1763 reflections
155 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of
independent and constrained
refinement

1763 independent reflections 1679 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.021$ $\theta_{max} = 27.0^{\circ}$ $h = -8 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 11$ $l = -10 \rightarrow 14$

$$\begin{split} &w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o{}^2) + (0.0439P)^2 \\ &+ 0.5012P] \\ &where \ P = (F_o{}^2 + 2F_c{}^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{max} = 0.63 \ e \ Å{}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{min} = -0.36 \ e \ Å{}^{-3} \\ &\text{Extinction correction: $SHELXL97$} \\ &\text{Extinction coefficient: 0.026 (2)} \end{split}$$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Fe1-O2	2.0894 (18)	Fe1-O3	2.1273 (18)
Fe1-O1	2.1253 (16)		
O2-Fe1-O1	87.49 (8)	O2-Fe1-O3	90.95 (8)
O2 ⁱ -Fe1-O1	92.51 (8)	O1-Fe1-O3	88.04 (7)
O2-Fe1-O3 ⁱ	89.05 (8)	O1 ⁱ -Fe1-O3	91.96 (7)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Table 2			
Hydrogen-bond geometry	(Å,	°).	

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
N1-H12···O7 ⁱⁱ	0.88 (5)	2.43 (4)	3.080 (3)	131 (3)
$N1-H12\cdots O6^{ii}$	0.88 (5)	2.10 (5)	2.953 (3)	165 (3)
O3−H6···O6 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.86 (4)	1.91 (4)	2.757 (2)	167 (3)
N1-H11···O7	0.89 (4)	2.54 (4)	3.065 (3)	119 (3)
O2−H4···O5	0.73 (3)	2.04 (3)	2.768 (3)	174 (3)
O1-H1···O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.79 (4)	1.94 (4)	2.733 (2)	175 (3)
$O1-H2\cdots O6^{iv}$	0.87 (4)	1.94 (4)	2.783 (3)	164 (3)
$O3-H5\cdots O7^{v}$	0.80 (3)	1.90 (4)	2.695 (3)	173 (3)
$O2-H3\cdots O4^{vi}$	0.80 (4)	1.91 (4)	2.698 (3)	165 (3)

Symmetry codes: (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 2; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iv) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (v) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (vi) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$.

H atoms were located in difference Fourier maps and were refined with distance restraints of O-H = N-H = 0.85 (1) Å and C-H = 0.95 (1) Å; their displacement parameters were refined freely.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2002); cell refinement: *SAINT*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELX97*.

We thank the Natural Scientific Foundation Committee of Shanxi Province (No.20041031).

References

- Bataille, T. (2003). Acta Cryst. C59, m459-m461.
- Bruker (2002). SAINT and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Fu, Y.-L., Xu, Z.-W., Ren, J.-L. & Ng, S. W. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m1639– m1640.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). *ORTEPII*. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Meng, H., Xing, Y., Fu Y.-L., Shi, Z. & Pang W.-Q. (2004). Chem. Res. Chin. Univ. 20, 1–5.
- Pan, J.-X, Yang, G.-Y, & Sun, Y.-Q (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, m286-m288.
- Rekik, W., Naili, H., Mhiri, T. & Bataille, T. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m629m631.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.